

Proton Structure in the LHC Era Feedback Auswertung:

How did you judge the overall length of the school? How did you perceive the density of the program?

- It was ok for purpose and expectations.
- Acceptable length
- Would be better to do the length bigger – not enough time for some of talks.
- Program was dense but not too much and the length was sufficient.
- Good.
- Length just enough. Density very good.
- Very dense, but not too dense. The length is perfect.
- It was very much appropriated.
- Good balance; Lunch break was a bit short due to room being far away.
- Very dense, perhaps 1/” day longer would be good.
- Ok
- Good length and density of lectures.
- Ok/Days were a little full.
- Fine.
- 3 days are ok.

How did you perceive the time splitting between lectures and tutorials?

- Good.
- Perfect.
- Ok.
- Good.
- Very good. Each subject has its own tutorial – great!!!
- Very good.
- Ok.
- Good balance, well structured.
- Good.
- Ok.
- Not applicable.
- Good.
- OK.
- Fine.
- Good.

In your opinion, which aspects should be altered? What was missing? What could be left out in the future?

- Better preparation of tutorials.
- The virtual machine should have G edit on it
- An additional tutorial at the end, in which we separate in groups and try to perform what we learned by ourselves.

- Home work could be added.
- The theory lectures can be a bit more explanatory.
- Nothing was missing.
- More advanced tutorials (setting up own applgrids).
- More gentle theory introduction.

Any more general comments to this school?

- It was great! Thanks.
- Overall the school was good.
- Maybe a social event would have been nice.
- Very good.
- No.
- Start Monday at 13:00. Fewer programs on single day.

Please suggest topics for further schools or workshops:

- Statistical analysis of tendencies; Data analysis
- Some dedicated school for theory of Jets???
- Detector and hardware performance.

Kommentare:

School in general:

- Tutorials were a bit hard because I can't manage with EMACS.
- The school was overall good and I learned MERAFITTER well.

QCD Factorisation (S. Alekhin):

- Second half, flavor schemes, was too fast; the first half was very good.
- Second half was too detailed.
- A bit tough, but I guess that's unavoidable.
- Overall ok.

PDF determinations and their ingredients (A. Cooper-Sarkar):

- Very nice and useful!

Comparing data and theory at the LHC (J. Katzy):

- Too little details, esp. parton shower theory.

QCD evolution with QCDNUM (M. Botje):

- Very technical.
- Very quick and difficult to follow code structure.
- Example plots would have been nice.
- Clear presentation!

PDF reweighting (A. Guffanti):

- Interesting but not needed for users (at least not for me)

QCD fitting tools and HERAFitter (Radescu/Placakyte):

No comments for this lecture

FASTNlo (Rabbertz/Britzger):

- Use case not 100%, most of things are hidden in HERAFitter.
- Not enough explanation of code structure or detailed tutorial instructions.

ApplGrid (Starovoitov/Sutton):

No comments for this lecture

OpenQCDRad (S. Alekhin):

- More “hands on” would have been nice.
- Not clear what to do with it.

Uncertainty treatment in PDFs (A. Glazov)

- Perfectly prepared tutorial!

LHC measurements relevant for PDFs (J. Guimareas da Costa):

- Top sector was missing.

Adding additional data (K. Nowak):

- Perfectly prepared tutorial!